

NFP International | Data Analytic Forum

January 22 (Jan 23 for Australia) 1-2pm MT

Participants: Petya Zeynelova (Bulgaria), Deirdre Webb (Chair/International Team), Amy Romagnoli (Ontario), Lindsay Croswell (Ontario), Gail Radford-Trotter (International Team), Leilani Jordan (BC), Mike Knudtson (PRC), Dorian Watts (Nova Scotia), Jen MacDougall (Nova Scotia), Yu Gao (Australia), Dorian Watts (Nova Scotia), Emma Larkin (Northern Ireland), David Olds (PRC/International Team), Anna Lindberg (International Team/PRC, notetaker)

Regrets and/or not present: Susan Jack (Ontario), Chelsie Dryer (NSO), Flora Murphy (Nova Scotia), Maryann Chanase (USA NSO), Alexa Yakubovich (Nova Scotia), Penny Liao-Lussier (BC)

Chair: Deirdre Webb

Notetaker: Anna Lindberg/meeting recorded

Agenda Item	Notes
Welcome/Introductions	Welcome Nova Scota & BC colleagues (their first time at the meeting 😌)
Review updated survey draft sent with agenda regarding data reporting requirements for annual report	- The purpose of the survey is to assess your views on a list of items to be included in the revision of the Phase 4 & 5 Annual Reports. Please refer to email sent out by Anna 10/30 for draft of survey and the more recent draft sent as a Microsoft Form link with the agenda sent last week. https://forms.office.com/r/PQwcJR9mY5
	 What's happened so far: Survey was reviewed at 11/6 meeting Survey was reviewed by GCGG attendees and some offered further feedback Deirdre edited survey further



UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL COLORADO

- we put it in a survey software/platform and distribute (what was sent out with agenda last week – please see email for link)
- it is now in an (almost) final state ready for one more round of review:

https://forms.office.com/r/PQwcJR9mY5

Reviewing survey draft as a group

The survey follows the format of the annual report template and asks whether certain pieces of the report are important/should stay

- There is a comment box where survey takers can expand upon why they chose yes/no for a specific characteristic in the survey.
- Deirdre: want people to fill in both from a data and clinical point of view the importance of each metric.
- Purpose of questionnaire is to try and get a feel for areas should or should not be included
- For phase 4 and 5 countries

Lindsay: I think many of the terms used required more details of how to define them in order to give further feedback, like engagement. What Dr. Olds just described would be referred to as 'enrollment' here I think (definitions may vary country to country – want to ensure everyone is interpreting the terms the same way)

David: one the one hand, we need to simplify reporting to make it easier on people, on the other, we want to know more about any information that can be provided in the report – challenging balance to find.

- Gail: reason for report is two-fold: for countries to gather information about the program and for the team at University of Colorado to have reassurance that program is being implemented with fidelity.
- If we get this standardized, we could get some more accurate comparison across countries

CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL COLORADO

Leilani: is there an option to have less reporting (not changing items reported open, but doing it less frequently)?

- Deirdre: phase 5 only required to do annual report every other year --> we could consider doing that for every country to reduce burden of reporting.

Lindsay: instead of adding definitions in the survey, could countries make comments directly on reporting template itself (where indicators are defined already)?

- Something we'll need to follow up on with Phase 5 countries who have requested this change.
- Could also meet each Phase 4 and 5 countries individually and get more in-depth critique of what each Phase 4 and 5 countries think

Leilani: what if you took form, and annotated form to correspond with numbered questions of survey?

Petya: regional differences country to country – some metrics might be useful in some places versus others (for example Bulgaria does not use DANCE) --> might lose comparability between countries if we take out some things in the report.

Emma: are there certain measures that are important for being able to continue justification for the evidence base for implementation in a country? Ie: would we have to report on certain measures in order to be able to demonstrate certain outcomes of the program? Are some more weighty or influential than others?

Are any non-negotiable reporting metrics from a programming POV?

Value of information system providing guidance on policy and practice – potential of future manuscript? Something to continue to reflect on



UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL COLORADO

Next steps	Between meetings: Deirdre and David to meet with each
	country to learn more about challenges with data, clearer
	idea of what the issues are. Can bring back and present key
	issues
	Deirdre and Anna to schedule meetings with each country to
	dig into this topic more with each country.
	Deirdre to gather information and present at next meeting.
AOCB	
Date of Next Meeting(s)	April 9 1:00PM MT (could potentially be pushed back
	depending on timing of Deirdre's meeting with each country)
	July 9 1:00PM MT
	July 5 1.001 11111
	October 8 1:00PM MT